

## Can Creation and Evolution Co-Exist?

by Heath Rogers

I grew up believing in the Biblical account of creation: that God made the world and everything in it in six days (Gen. 1-2). When I was a junior in high school, I took a class that challenges the faith of many young people - Advanced Biology. This class included my first in-depth study of evolution. My teacher taught evolution as a fact. The first day of class he told us to leave our Bible and our faith at the door because, when we entered his classroom, we would be studying “scientific facts.”

I began his class with a determination to hold on to my belief in the biblical account of creation. I listened carefully to his lectures on evolution. He was a likable man and, being the effective teacher that he was, his arguments began to make sense to me. I then made the mistake that many young people in similar situations make – I began to look for a way to make creation and evolution work *together*. I thought, “Couldn’t evolution have possibly been the method that God used to create all living things?”

I have since learned that my “brilliant” conclusion had already been in existence for many years. The blending together of creation and evolution is most commonly known of as “Theistic Evolution.” Theistic Evolution makes for an attractive theory, but it must be rejected on the grounds of both the scientific method as well as a literal interpretation of the Scriptures.

Consider first an appeal to science. Evolution is bad science. My biology teacher was only giving us one side of the story. For one, the theory of evolution is a mathematical impossibility. Secondly, it contradicts known scientific laws (the first and second laws of thermodynamics, as well as the law of biogenesis). Third, population studies show that human life can not have existed on earth as long as evolution demands. Fourth, where are the missing links between species that Darwin said were necessary to prove his theory? Looking back, it is strange that we *never* talked about these scientific facts while studying evolution.

Consider now an appeal to the Bible. Theistic Evolution is commonly supported by one of two theories: the **Day-Age Theory** and the **Gap Theory**. The Day-Age Theory suggests that the days of creation in Genesis chapters one and two are actually **ages** that lasted for up to billions of years each. The Gap Theory suggests that the days of creation are all 24-hour days, but that they are **separated by vast periods of time**. Both theories say that God followed the same pattern of creation set forth in Genesis. However, this can not be true. For one thing, plant life was created on day three, but the sun was not created until day four. Everyone knows that plant life cannot exist without the sun. Plants could last one day without sunlight, but not millions of years. We also know that some plants require the creatures that were created on the fifth and sixth days in order to be pollinated. Symbiotic relationships (essential for survival) are found all over the earth. How could these species have survived for millions of years, waiting for their animal neighbors to be created (or evolved)? It simply will not work.

Theistic Evolution is nothing short of compromise. Those who seek this compromise between creation and evolution find themselves as men without a country. The evolution purist is unfriendly to them, since he would prefer that the Bible be ignored. Those who believe in sudden creation oppose the attack upon the literal interpretation of the Bible that is presented by the theistic evolution compromise.

There is no room or reason for a compromise between creation and evolution. Theistic Evolution must be rejected as false. It is bad science, and its proponents fail to “handle accurately the word of truth” (2 Tim. 2:15).

<http://www.ykc.com/cofc/>